AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 17, 2001 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 24, 2001 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 16, 2001

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2001-02 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1

Introduced by Assembly Member Aanestad

December 4, 2000

An act to amend Section 399.8 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to public utilities.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1, as amended, Aanestad. Electrical restructuring: energy efficiency programs.

Under the Public Utilities Act, the Public Utilities Commission, until January 1, 2012, requires electrical corporations to identify a separate rate component to collect a system benefits charge to fund energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development, and demonstration programs.

This bill would establish a dispute resolution process for the Large Nonresidential Standard Performance Contract Program funded under these provisions.

Existing law provides that a

A violation of the Public Utilities Act act is a crime. Because a violation of the provisions of this bill would be a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program by creating a new crime.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state.

AB 1 — 2 —

1

2

3

8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

21

24

25

27

28 29

Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 399.8 of the Public Utilities Code, as added by Chapter 1050 of the Statutes of 2000, is amended to read: 399.8. (a) In order to ensure that the citizens of this state continue to receive safe, reliable, affordable, and environmentally sustainable electric service, it is the policy of this state and the intent of the Legislature that prudent investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration shall continue to be made.
- (b) (1) Every customer of an electrical corporation, shall pay a nonbypassable system benefits charge authorized pursuant to this article. The system benefits charge shall fund energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration.
- (2) Local publicly owned electric utilities shall continue to collect and administer system benefits charges pursuant to Section 385.
- (c) (1) The commission shall require each electrical corporation to identify a separate rate component to collect revenues to fund energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration programs authorized pursuant to this section beginning January 1, 2002, through January 1, 2012. The rate component shall be a nonbypassable element of the local distribution service and collected on the basis of usage.
- (2) This rate component may not exceed, for any tariff schedule, the level of the rate component that was used to recover funds authorized pursuant to Section 381 on January 1, 2000. If the amounts specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) are not recovered fully in any year, the commission shall reset the rate component to restore the unrecovered balance, provided that the rate component may not exceed, for any tariff schedule, the level

_3 _ AB 1

of the rate component that was used to recover funds authorized pursuant to Section 381 on January 1, 2000. Pending restoration, any annual shortfalls shall be allocated pro rata among the three funding categories in the proportions established in paragraph (1) of subdivision (d).

- (d) The commission shall order San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company to collect these funds commencing on January 1, 2002, as follows:
- (1) Two hundred twenty-eight million dollars (\$228,000,000) per year in total for energy efficiency and conservation activities, one hundred thirty-five million dollars (\$135,000,000) in total per year for renewable energy, and sixty-two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$62,500,000) in total per year for research, development and demonstration. The funds for energy efficiency and conservation activities shall continue to be allocated in proportions established for the year 2000 as set forth in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 381.
- (2) The amounts shall be adjusted annually at a rate equal to the lesser of the annual growth in electric commodity sales or inflation, as defined by the gross domestic product deflator.
- (e) The commission and the Energy Commission shall retain and continue their oversight responsibilities as set forth in Sections 381, 383, 383.5, and 445, and Chapter 7.1 (commencing with Section 25620) of the Public Resources Code.
- (f) (1) On or before January 1, 2004, the Governor shall appoint an independent review panel including, but not limited to, members with expertise on the energy service needs of large and small electricity consumers, system reliability issues, and energy-related public policy. On or before January 1, 2005, the panel shall prepare and submit to the Legislature and the Energy Commission a report evaluating the energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration programs funded under this section. Reasonable costs associated with the review in each of the three program categories, including technical assistance, may be charged to the relevant program category under procedures to be developed by the commission for energy efficiency and by the Energy Commission for renewable energy and research, development and demonstration.
 - (2) The report shall also assess all of the following:

AB 1 **- 4** —

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37 38

(A) Whether ongoing programs are consistent with the statutory goals.

- (B) Whether potential synergies among the program categories described in paragraph (1) that could provide enhanced public value have been identified and incorporated in the programs.
- (C) If established targets for increased renewable generation are likely to be achieved.
- (D) What changes should be made to result in a more efficient use of public resources.
- (3) The report shall also compare the Energy Commission's programs with efforts undertaken by other states and assess, as an alternative, the relative costs and benefits of adopting a tradable minimum renewable energy requirement in California. The evaluation shall include recommendations intended to optimize renewable resource development at the least cost.
- (4) For energy efficiency programs, the report shall include an evaluation of all of the following:
- (A) The net benefits secured for residential customers, taking into account both public and private costs, including improvements in that customer group's ability to avoid or reduce consumption of relatively costly peak electricity.
- (B) Whether the programs provide a balance of benefits to all sectors that contribute to the funding.
- (C) The extent to which competition in energy markets including, but not limited to, load participation in ancillary services markets, and improvements in technology affect the continuing need for such programs.
- (D) The status and growth of the private, competitive energy services industry that provides energy efficiency services and other energy products to customers.
- (E) The commercial availability of any new technologies that reduce electricity demands during high-priced periods.
- (F) Customers' willingness and ability to reduce consumption or adopt energy efficiency measures without program support.
- (G) The extent to which the programs have delivered 36 cost-effective energy efficiency not adequately provided by markets and as a result have reduced energy demand and consumption.

5 AB 1

(H) The relative cost effectiveness of program expenditures compared to other current or potential expenditures to enhance system reliability.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

- (5) The report shall include specific recommendations aimed at assisting the Legislature in determining whether to change or eliminate the collection of the system benefits charge on or after January 1, 2007.
 - (6) The panel may update and revise the report as needed.
- (g) Promptly after receiving the panel's report, the commission shall convene a proceeding to address implementation of the panel's energy efficiency recommendations.
- (h) An applicant for the Large Nonresidential Standard Performance Contract Program funded pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) and an electrical corporation shall promptly attempt to resolve disputes that arise related to the program's guidelines and parameters prior to entering into a program agreement. The applicant shall provide the electrical corporation with written notice of any dispute. Within 10 business days after the date of receipt of the notice, the parties shall meet to resolve the dispute. If the dispute is not resolved within 10 business days after the meeting, the electrical corporation shall notify the applicant of his or her right to file a complaint with the Consumer Affairs Division of the commission, which complaint shall describe the grounds for the complaint, injury, and relief sought. The Consumer Affairs Division commission shall issue its findings in response to a filed complaint within 30 business days of the date of receipt of the complaint. Prior to issuance of its findings, the Consumer Affairs Division commission shall provide a copy of the complaint to the electrical corporation, which shall provide a response to the complaint to the Consumer Affairs Division commission within five business days of the date of receipt. During the dispute period, the amount of estimated financial incentives shall be held in reserve until the dispute is resolved.
- SEC. 2. Section 399.8 of the Public Utilities Code, as added by Chapter 1051 of the Statutes of 2000, is amended to read:
- 399.8. (a) In order to ensure that the citizens of this state continue to receive safe, reliable, affordable, and environmentally sustainable electric service, it is the policy of this state and the intent of the Legislature that prudent investments in energy

AB 1 — 6 —

efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration shall continue to be made.

- (b) (1) Every customer of an electrical corporation, shall pay a nonbypassable system benefits charge authorized pursuant to this article. The system benefits charge shall fund energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration.
- (2) Local publicly owned electric utilities shall continue to collect and administer system benefits charges pursuant to Section 385.
- (c) (1) The commission shall require each electrical corporation to identify a separate rate component to collect revenues to fund energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration programs authorized pursuant to this section beginning January 1, 2002, through January 1, 2012. The rate component shall be a nonbypassable element of the local distribution service and collected on the basis of usage.
- (2) This rate component may not exceed, for any tariff schedule, the level of the rate component that was used to recover funds authorized pursuant to Section 381 on January 1, 2000. If the amounts specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) are not recovered fully in any year, the commission shall reset the rate component to restore the unrecovered balance, provided that the rate component may not exceed, for any tariff schedule, the level of the rate component that was used to recover funds authorized pursuant to Section 381 on January 1, 2000. Pending restoration, any annual shortfalls shall be allocated pro rata among the three funding categories in the proportions established in paragraph (1) of subdivision (d).
- (d) The commission shall order San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company to collect these funds commencing on January 1, 2002, as follows:
- (1) Two hundred twenty-eight million dollars (\$228,000,000) per year in total for energy efficiency and conservation activities, one hundred thirty-five million dollars (\$135,000,000) in total per year for renewable energy, and sixty-two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$62,500,000) in total per year for research, development and demonstration. The funds for energy efficiency

7 AB 1

and conservation activities shall continue to be allocated in proportions established for the year 2000 as set forth in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 381.

- (2) The amounts shall be adjusted annually at a rate equal to the lesser of the annual growth in electric commodity sales or inflation, as defined by the gross domestic product deflator.
- (e) The commission and the Energy Commission shall retain and continue their oversight responsibilities as set forth in Sections 381, 383, 383.5, and 445, and Chapter 7.1 (commencing with Section 25620) of Division 15 of the Public Resources Code.
- (f) (1) On or before January 1, 2004, the Governor shall appoint an independent review panel including, but not limited to, members with expertise on the energy service needs of large and small electricity consumers, system reliability issues, and energy-related public policy. On or before January 1, 2005, the panel shall prepare and submit to the Legislature and the Energy Commission a report evaluating the energy efficiency, renewable energy, and research, development and demonstration programs funded under this section. Reasonable costs associated with the review in each of the three program categories, including technical assistance, may be charged to the relevant program category under procedures to be developed by the commission for energy efficiency and by the Energy Commission for renewable energy and research development and demonstration.
 - (2) The report shall also assess all of the following:
- (A) Whether ongoing programs are consistent with the statutory goals.
- (B) Whether potential synergies among the program categories described in paragraph (1) that could provide enhanced public value have been identified and incorporated in the programs.
- (C) If established targets for increased renewable generation are likely to be achieved.
- (D) What changes should be made to result in a more efficient use of public resources.
- (3) The report shall also compare the Energy Commission's programs with efforts undertaken by other states and assess, as an alternative, the relative costs and benefits of adopting a tradable minimum renewable energy requirement in California. The evaluation shall include recommendations intended to optimize renewable resource development at the least cost.

AB 1

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

30 31

32

33

34

35

37 38

(4) For energy efficiency programs, the report shall include an evaluation of all of the following:

- (A) The net benefits secured for residential customers, taking into account both public and private costs, including improvements in that customer group's ability to avoid or reduce consumption of relatively costly peak electricity.
- (B) Whether the programs provide a balance of benefits to all sectors that contribute to the funding.
- (C) The extent to which competition in energy markets 10 including, but not limited to, load participation in ancillary services markets, and improvements in technology affect the continuing need for such programs.
 - (D) The status and growth of the private, competitive energy services industry that provides energy efficiency services and other energy products to customers.
 - (E) The commercial availability of any new technologies that reduce electricity demands during high-priced periods.
 - (F) Customers' willingness and ability to reduce consumption or adopt energy efficiency measures without program support.
 - (G) The extent to which the programs have delivered cost-effective energy efficiency not adequately provided by markets and as a result have reduced energy demand and consumption.
 - (H) The relative cost effectiveness of program expenditures compared to other current or potential expenditures to enhance system reliability.
 - (5) The report shall include specific recommendations aimed at assisting the Legislature in determining whether to change or eliminate the collection of the system benefits charge on or after January 1, 2007.
 - (6) The panel may update and revise the report as needed.
 - (g) Promptly after receiving the panel's report, the commission shall convene a proceeding to address implementation of the panel's energy efficiency recommendations.
- (h) An applicant for the Large Nonresidential Standard 36 Performance Contract Program funded pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) and an electrical corporation shall promptly attempt to resolve disputes that arise related to the program's guidelines and parameters prior to entering into a program agreement. The applicant shall provide the electrical corporation

9 AB 1

with written notice of any dispute. Within 10 business days after 2 receipt of the notice, the parties shall meet to resolve the dispute. 3 If the dispute is not resolved within 10 business days after the date of the meeting, the electrical corporation shall notify the applicant 5 of his or her right to file a complaint with the Consumer Affairs Division of the commission, which complaint shall describe the grounds for the complaint, injury, and relief sought. The Consumer Affairs Division commission shall issue its findings in 9 response to a filed complaint within 30 business days of the date of receipt of the complaint. Prior to issuance of its findings, the 10 11 Consumer Affairs Division commission shall provide a copy of the 12 complaint to the electrical corporation, which shall provide a 13 response to the complaint to the Consumer Affairs Division 14 commission within five business days of the date of receipt. During the dispute period, the amount of estimated financial incentives 15 16 shall be held in reserve until the dispute is resolved. 17

SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

18

19

20

21

22

24